

STATE OF IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1410 North Hilton • Boise, Idaho 83706 • (208) 373-0502

October 26, 2010

C.L. "Butch" Otter, Governor Toni Hardesty, Director

Mr. Ken Marcy U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 12928 SW 276th Street Vashon, WA 98070

RE: Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment Reports and Recommendations for Determination for the Calumet, Isabel, and Hecla patented mining claims

Dear Mr. Marcy:

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has completed the attached Abbreviated Preliminary Assessments (APAs), on a number of mines located in the Texas Mining District. These mine claims have been subdivided into 14 parcels most of which now have recreational residences located on them. The properties are currently owned by a number of different parties identified in the attached tables.

Although there are a number of residences and sensitive receptors located on the three subdivided claims, there was no evidence of hazardous materials of waste observed at the properties, nor is there the potential of any having been there. As a result of our observations, DEQ is recommending this site be designated as "No Remedial Action Planned" (NRAP).

The APAs will be entered into DEQ's Waste Division Inventory database. A link to the APAs can also be found on DEQ's Preliminary Assessment Web page at:

http://www.deq.idaho.gov/waste/prog_issues/mining/pa_program.cfm

If you have any questions about these sites, reports, or DEQ's recommendations, please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 373-0554.

Respectfully.

Mine Waste Projects Coordinator

attachments

ee: Maggie Baker – USDA National Forest Service, Region IV Jeff Gabardi – USDA Sawtooth National Forest, Twin Falls PA Program file

ABBREVIATED PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

This checklist can be used to help the site investigator determine if an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment (APA) is warranted. This checklist should document the rationale for the decision on whether further steps in the site investigation process are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

Checklist Preparer:	Bruce A. Schuld Mine Waste Program Coordi Idaho Department of Enviror 1410 N. Hilton, Boise, ID 83 (208)373-0554 bruce.schuld@deq.idaho.gov	nmental Quality 3706	08/24/10		
Site Name:	Calumet Patented Claim				
Previous Names (if	Previous Names (if any): aka Silver Moon Mine and Mill sites				
Site Location: 2 mi	niles south of Gilmore, Idaho				
T131	N, R27E, Sec. 21	83464 (Zip)			

Latitude: N 44.4400°

Longitude: W 113.2588°

Describe the release (or potential release) and its probable nature:

This site was investigated for potential releases of heavy metals and sediment from mine waste dumps, and potential discharges of other deleterious materials, such as petroleum products and ore processing chemicals.

Part 1 - Superfund Eligibility Evaluation

If all answers are "no" go on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.	YES	NO
1. Is the site currently in CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?		X
2. Is the site being addressed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or		Х
Tribal)?		
3. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site regulated under a		x
statutory exclusion (e.g., petroleum, natural gas, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas		
usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, release located in a workplace, naturally		
occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?		
4. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site excluded by policy		х
considerations (i.e., deferred to RCRA corrective action)?		
5. Is there sufficient documentation to demonstrate that no potential for a release that	x	
could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts exists (e.g.,		
comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent data showing no release above		
ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no hazardous		
substance releases have occurred, or an EPA approved risk assessment completed)?		

Please explain all "yes" answer(s). Indirect and direct observations, plus historical records research confirmed that contaminants of concern do not exist in concentrations that present a threat to human health or the environment.

Part 2 - Initial Site Evaluation

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a "yes" or "no" response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If the answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.	YES	NO
1. Does the site have a release or a potential to release?		x
2. Does the site have uncontained sources containing CERCLA eligible substances?		x
3. Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?		Х

If the answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all "yes" then answer the questions below before proceeding to Part 3.	YES	NO
4. Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?		X
5. Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?		X
6. Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?		X
7. Is there no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site?		X

Notes:

Recreational home sites are located within the subject area; however, there are no potential risks to human health or the environment. Very little mining activities occurred in this area and no waste dumps, adits, or discharges were observed. (See attached Silver Moon Mine and Mill site area Photo Log and Site Conditions.)

EXHIBIT 1 SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activities based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgment when evaluating a site. Your judgment may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Suspected/Documented Site Condition	ΑΡΑ	Full PA	PA/SI	SI	
1. There are no releases or potential to	release.	Yes			
2. No uncontained sources with CERCL are present on site.	A-eligible substances	<u>Yes</u>			
3. There are no on-site, adjacent, or ne	arby targets.	Yes			
4. There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a	Option 1: APA SI	<u>Yes</u>			
hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	<u>No</u>			
5. There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of targets, but there are targets on site		<u>No</u>			
or immediately adjacent to the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No			
6. There is an apparent release and no targets and no documented targets imm the site, but there are nearby targets. N those targets that are located within 1 m have a relatively high likelihood of exposubstance migration from the site.	<u>No</u>				
7. There is no indication of a hazardous and there are not uncontained sources hazardous substances, but there is a per targets present on site or in proximity to	<u>No</u>				

Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 --conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 -- proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

		· up	
х	NFRAP		Refer to Removal Program – further site assessment needed
	Higher Priority SI		Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP
	Lower Priority SI		Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site
	Defer to RCRA Subtitle C		Other:
	Defer to NRC		

Check the box that applies based on the conclusions of the APA:

Regional EPA Reviewer: <u>Broce A. Schuld MuGM</u> Print Name/Signature Date <u>8/24/10</u>

PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR YOUR DECISION:

This claim contains no historic mine developments. Its dominant features include 5⁻ individual home sites, most of which have some level of domestic development located on them. However, as a result of our observations, DEQ is recommending this site be designated as "No Remedial Action Planned" (NRAP).

3

NOTES: (SEE ATTACHED)

							State's
Mine/Mill Name	Parcel #	Owners	Mine aka	TRS	Latitude	Longitude	Recommendation
18 unpatented claims: Big Moose,							
Moose No. 2, Mohawk No. 1,							
Mohawk No. 2, Grace Phelan,							
Snow Bird, Settler, Blue Sage No.							
1, Blue Sage No. 2, Patty Ann,							
Ridge No. 1, C.P.Ross No. 1,							
C.P.Ross No. 2, C.P.Ross No. 3,				T13N R27E			
Craig No. 1, Craig No. 2, Craig		Mixed OwnershipUSFS 18	Silver Moon	Sections 20,			
No. 3, Craig No. 4	None Designated	unpatented claims.	Group	21, 28, 29	44.43331	-113.26437	PENDING
		John W. Fortner					
		2040 Midway					
Hecla Mill Site	RP99000020005H	Ammon, ID 83406	Hecla Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43755	-113.25924	NRAP
		Chuck and Carol Curran					
		c/o Jose Gonzales					
		2329 Belmont Ave.					
Hecla Mill Site	RP99000020005I	Idaho Falls, ID 83404-6413	Hecla Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43471	-113.26082	NRAP
		Bruce and Suzette Horton					
		Revocable Living Trust					
	DDOOOOOOOO	220 East Shelly Street	Liste Detect		44 40554	440.00007	
Hecla Mill Site	RP99000020005J	Idaho Falls, ID 83402-2215	Hecla Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43554	-113.26037	NRAP
Hecla Mill Site	RP99000020005K	UNKNOWN	Hecla Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43641	-113.25966	NRAP
		Paul Simmons					
		1066 Yellowstone Avenue					
		Apt # 25					
Isabel Mill Site	RP99000020005S	Pocatello, ID 83201	Isabel Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43334	-113.25913	NRAP
		Larry Simmons					
		8523 N 25th E					
Isabel Mill Site	RP99000020005T	Idaho Falls, ID 83401	Isabel Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43404	-113.25861	NRAP
		Larry Simmons					
		8523 N 25th E					
Isabel Mill Site	RP99000020005U	Idaho Falls, ID 83401	Isabel Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43482	-113.25795	NKAP

							State's
Mine/Mill Name	Parcel #	Owners	Mine aka	TRS	Latitude	Longitude	Recommendation
		Glenn & Connie Embree					
		aka Constance Revocable					
		Family Trust					
		485 N 4154 E			44.40504		
Isabel Mill Site	RP99000020005V	Rigby, ID 83442	Isabel Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43561	-113.25763	NRAP
		Ann Marie Harmon 250 Yale Avenue					
Isabel Mill Site	BB00000000005W	Rexburg, ID 83440	Isabel Patent	T13N R27E 21	44 42621	-113.25703	
	KF99000020005W	Steve & Jan Nickels		113N KZI ZI	44.43021	-113.25703	INKAF
		11620 N Faith Lane					
Calumet Mill Site	RP99000020005N	Pocatello ID 83202	Calumet Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.44162	-113.25808	NRAP
		Ronald Mizia					
		240 Beacon Drive					
Calumet Mill Site	RP99000020005O	Idaho Falls, ID 83402	Calumet Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.44072	-113.2583	NRAP
		Larry & Patsy Lounsbury					
		c/o Juis Soria					
		334 N 4100 E					
Calumet Mill Site	RP99000020005P	Rigby, ID 83442	Calumet Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43997	-113.2587	NRAP
		Gary Beardall					
		477 E 14th Street					
Calumet Mill Site	RP99000020005Q	Idaho Falls, ID 83404	Calumet Patent	T13N R27E 21	44.43905	-113.25878	NRAP
		Doug & Bobort Morrow					
		Doug & Robert Morrow 240 N Berlin Rd #17					
Calumet Mill Site	RP99000020005R	Idaho Falls ID 83402	Calumet Patent	T13N R27E 21	44,43824	-113.25888	NRAP



Figure 1. Location of the Silver Moon Mill Sites with Lemhi County 2010 Parcel Data overlay. (Map source: Lemhi County NAIP 2004)



Figure 2. Lithology of the Silver Moon Mill Sites. (Map source: Idaho DEQ ArcSDE 9.2 Geodatabase)



Figure 3. Drinking water well locations and source water delineations. 15-Mile Target Distance Limit (TDL). (Map source: Lemhi County NAIP 2004)



Figure 4. Sensitive species near the Silver Moon Mill Sites. (Map source: Idaho DEQ ArcSDE 9.2 Geodatabase)

Silver Moon Photo Log and Site Conditions

Silver Moon Gulch and the area just outside it in the Lemhi River Valley contain mixed ownership lands administered by the USDA Forest Service and numerous private individuals or families. Within the area is the Silver Moon Mine with 18 unpatented mine claims and the Hecla, Isabel, and Calumet patented claims. Access was granted to several home sites on the Hecla, Isabel, and Calumet claims sites and observations were made of adjacent properties and conditions leading DEQ to recommend No Remedial Actions Planned (NRAP) or any further investigations made of the mill sites.



Photo 1. Historical Marker for the Gilmore Mining area of the Texas Mining District. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Photo 2. Much of the Texas Gilmore area has been subdivided or is being subdivided and sold for recreational residential development. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Photo 3. Much of the Texas Gilmore area has been subdivided or is being subdivided and sold for recreational residential development. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Figure 1. Flyer promoting lots for sale in Gilmore.



Photo 4. Silver Moon Mine bunkhouse and office on USDA administered lands. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Photo 5. Historic mine office for the Silver Moon Mine. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Photo 6. Historic mine office for the Silver Moon Mine. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)



Photo 7. Dangerous Open Adit #1 Silver Moon Mine Lat 44.434300° Long ⁻113.26500°. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)

Silver Moon Mine Adit #1 is open and poses a significant physical hazard. However, the waste dump contains less than 100 cubic yards of waste rock dominated by dolomite with little evidence of sulfides. It does not constitute human health or ecological risks due to heavy metals.



Photo 8. Silver Moon Mine Adit #2 is an open and dangerous physical hazard. Lat. 44.43417° Long ⁻113.26500°. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)

Silver Moon Mine Adit #2 is open and poses a significant physical hazard. However, the waste dump contains less than 100 cubic yards of waste rock dominated by dolomite with little evidence of sulfides. It does not constitute human health or ecological risks due to heavy metals.



Photo 9. Silver Moon Mine Adit #3 is an open and dangerous physical hazard. Lat. 44.43385° Long 113.26400°. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)

Silver Moon Mine Adit #3 is open and poses a significant physical hazard. However, the waste dump contains less than 1,000 cubic yards of waste rock dominated by dolomite with little evidence of sulfides. It does not constitute human health or ecological risks due to heavy metals.



Photo 10. Silver Moon Mine Waste Dump #1 is the site of the closed Shaft #1 (above). (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

Waste Dump #1 is the largest of the Silver Moon Mine Waste dumps and contains country rock derived from driving Shaft #1 and at least two adits located west of Shaft #1. The waste dump covers approximately three acres and contains over 10,000 cubic yards of material.

A composite waste sample (SMWD1SS1) from seven surficial sites was collected on Waste Dump #1. After removal of the first few inches of debris and organic matter, approximately 1 lb of sample was extracted from each of the sites and placed in a stainless steel bowl. The samples were mixed and then sieved through a 9 mesh screen. Approximately 30 percent passed the 9 mesh screen. The other 70 percent was typically +1/2-inch to three inches in size. However, there was a large volume or percentage of material on the dump 4 to 24 inches in size. Little or no massive sulfides were noted on the dump and the material was dominated by highly altered dolomite (marble).



Photo 11. Silver Moon Shaft #1 and headframe backfilled. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 12. Caved adit(s) behind (west) Silver Moon Shaft #1 and headframe. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 13. North half of Silver Moon Waste Dump #1. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 14. Silver Moon Shaft #1 and headframe. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 15. Remnants of hunting camp near Silver Moon Shaft #2 approximately 300 feet north of Silver Moon Shaft #1. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

Numerous uses of the area were evident including residential development, off road vehicle (ORV) touring of historical mines, hunting, fishing, and camping.



Photo 16. Caved adit or stope adjacent to Silver Moon Shaft #2. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 17. Collapsed Silver Moon Mine Shaft #2 Lat 44.43370° Long -113.26400°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 18. Open adit and waste dump in spur to Silver Moon Gulch on USDA administered lands Lat 44.434600° Long ⁻113.26500°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 19. Unknown open adit and dump, containing less than 500 cubic yards, was sampled (UKAD1SS1)Lat 44.43454° Long ⁻113.26600°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

There was evidence of people digging in the waste dump labeled UKWD1.



Photo 20. Open adit and waste dump in spur to Silver Moon Gulch on USDA administered lands Lat 44.434600° Long ⁻113.26500°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 21. Open adit and waste dump in spur to Silver Moon Gulch on USDA administered lands Lat 44.434600° Long 113.26500°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 22. Unnamed open adit on USDA administered lands Lat 44.43957° Long ⁻113.26690°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 23. Unnamed open adit on USDA administered lands Lat 44.43957° Long ⁻113.26690°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)



Photo 24. Unnamed open shaft on USDA administered lands in spur to Silver Moon Gulch at Lat 44.44097° Long 113.26640°. (B. Schuld)

Due to the number of open dangerous adits and shafts found on the lands administered by the USDA Forest Service, DEQ will recommend a mine opening inventory be completed by the USDA and, where appropriate, access is restricted since several were found immediately adjacent to heavily used ORV trails and dispersed campsites. DEQ is not recommending the historic significance be destroyed, but rather the physical risks are managed.



Photo 26. Silver Moon Gulch background soil sample (SMBGSS1) Lat 44.43197° Long ⁻113.26500°. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

Silver Moon Gulch was sampled for background analysis approximately 500 feet above the mine workings and development. The sample SMBGSS1 was buff or brown colored, contained less than 10 percent organics, and had >60 percent passing the 9 mesh sieve. The -9 mesh fraction was bagged and submitted for total metals.



Photo 27. The Silver Moon Mine Decline has been closed and retrofitted with a bat gate Lat 44.43238 Long 113.26100. (B. Schuld 5/12/10)

The dump surrounding the Silver Moon Decline was very large (> 5,000 cubic yards) and has been significantly altered by earth moving equipment. Therefore, it was not possible to determine how much material was country rock extracted from the excavation or was ore material. A composite sample was collected using a similar methodology as on Waste Dump #1.

Seven random sites were selected, sampled, and composited for total metals analysis. Sample SMDSS1 was buff or brown colored, contained less than 10 percent organics, and had >60 percent passing the 9 mesh sieve. The -9 mesh fraction was bagged and submitted for total metals.



Photo 28. Silver Moon caved Adit #6 and Waste Dump #6. (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

Silver Moon Waste Dump #6 appeared to be very well vegetated. However, there were several areas where massive sulfides were apparent in heavily altered and stained dolomite. Therefore sample SMWD6SS1 was collected at this location. Sample SMWD6SS1 was buff or brown colored, contained less than 10 percent organics, and had >60 percent passing the 9 mesh sieve. The -9 mesh fraction was bagged and submitted for total metals.



Photo 29. Bruce Horton's cabin and site of domestic well sampling for ground water quality associated with Silver Moon Mine workings and mineralization. Lat 44.43499° Long ⁻113.26000° (B. Schuld 7/20/10)

Three patented claims (Hecla, Isabel and Calumet) are located just outside of and to the north of Silver Moon Gulch. These claims have been subdivided into 14 home sites of approximately 5 acres each. The individual parcels are designated on the Lemhi County Tax Roll as RP99000020005 H – K, and RP99000020005 N – W (See Ownership Table 1, attached).

Access was somewhat restricted, so direct observations were made on only three of the 14 home sites on the Hecla, Isabel, and Calumet claims. Indirect observations on the remaining home sites were made from public roads bordering the properties. On the three sites inspected by DEQ, there was no evidence to any volumes of hazardous or deleterious wastes warranting cleanup or management. Observations of the remaining 11 home sites led DEQ to conclude these properties did not contain any large volumes of wastes requiring management. Therefore, DEQ has concluded the three claims should be designated NRAPs.

Although there are several deep domestic wells located in the area, access was only given to Mr. Bruce Horton's. His property is located on the Hecla claim, immediately outside of Silver Moon Gulch and down gradient from the surface and underground mine workings. Mr. Horton's well is approximately 500' deep. Mr. Horton's well was sampled (SMGW1) on 7/20/10. Initially the well was run for approximately 10 minutes to allow the pump and local storage to be purged. Sample containers and filtering towers were rinsed three times with well water to cleanse them of contaminants. A sample was collected and acidified for analysis of total metals concentrations. A second sample was collected and filtered through a 45 μ filter and pressurized tower, placed in a rinsed container and acidified. Field parameters were also collected at the well head using a calibrated Horiba and rinsed reservoir. Field parameters are:

Parameters	Horton Well
pН	7.75 std. units
Specific Conductance	0.298 µsiemen/cm
Turbidity	<10 NTUs
Dissolved Oxygen	10.63 mg/L
Temperature	11.5℃
Salinity	.01%

There was no available background source for ground water.

6/02 IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RES WELL DRILLER'S REPOR	OURCES Use Only Well ID No Inspected by Twp RgeSec	
1. WELL TAG NO. D	1/4 1/4 1/4	
DRILLING PERMIT NO	12. WELL TESTS: Lat: : Long: :	:
	Pump 🗋 Bailer 🖾 Air 🗌 Flowing Artesian	
2. OWNER:	Yield gal./min. Drawdown Pumping Level	Time
Name <u>PRUCE HOY TON</u>	5 gpm N/A 490 FT 3	1/2 1/185
Name BRUCE Hor ton Address 220 East Shelley ST City John Falls State Id Zip 83402		
	Water Temp Cold Bottom hole t	emp. Col
3. LOCATION OF WELL by legal description: You must provide address or Lot, Blk, Sub. or Directions to well.	Water Quality test or comments:Alane	
Twp. $/3$ North 🖾 or South 🗆	Depth first Water Enc	ounter <u>295</u>
Rge East 😥 or West 🗌	13. LITHOLOGIC LOG: (Describe repairs or abandonment)	Water
Sec	Bore From To Remarks: Lithology, Water Quality & Temperature	Y N
Gov't Lot County 40 acres 160 acres	10 0 2 TOP SOLL	X
Address of Well Site <u>S. Iver moon Gulch</u>	2 10 Shale Rock	Ĺ.
(Give al least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark)	10 18 Shale Rock	<u> </u>
(Give al least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark) Lt Bik Sub. Name <u>Schoor Mound</u>	6"18 20 Shale Rock	X
	20 40 Shale Rocks	X
	40 BO ShAle ROOK	$+ \frac{x}{x}$
4. USE:	80 90 Clay 90 93 Shale Rock	X
Thermal Injection Other	93 100 Jolid Rock	
	100 140 Solid Rock	X
5. TYPE OF WORK check all that apply (Replacement etc.)	110 200 Solid Rock	X
R New Well Decide Modify Abandonment Decide Conter	200 300 Solid Rock	X
6. DRILL METHOD:	300 400 SOLIA ROCK	X
🖟 Air Rotary 🔲 Cable 🛛 Mud Rotary 🗌 Other	400 500 Solid Rock	<u>X``</u>
7. SEALING PROCEDURES		
Seal Material From To Weight / Volume Seal Placement Method		
Bentonite 0 18		
Was drive shoe used? V IN Shoe Depth(s) 96 FT		
Was drive shoe seal tested? UY N How?		
8. CASING/LINER:		
Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded		
6" +18" 96.250 Steel R D R		
41/2"-20 500 cla Dic 0 0 0 R	RECEIVED	
Length of HeadpipeLength of Tailpipe	1.10101 1 B. announced	
Packer I Y IN Type	FEB 1 4 2007	
	Department of Water Resources	_
9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS PACKER TYPE	Eastern Region	
Perforation Method <u>City Caller Sみい</u>		
From To Slot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner		
490 100 V8" 304 45" PUC. D	Completed Depth(Measurable)
	Date: Started $7 - 6 - 05$ Completed $7 - 8$	3-05-
	14. DRILLER'S CERTIFICATION	
10. FILTER PACK	I/We certify that all minimum well construction standards were complied w	ith at the
Filter Material From To Weight / Volume Placement Method	time the rig was removed.	
	Company NameAFCOFirm	No. 504
11. STATIC WATER LEVEL OR ARTESIAN PRESSURE:	Principal Driller Annual Trata Date 2-	15 - 1
ft. below ground · Artesian pressureb.	and	
Depth flow encounteredft. Describe access port or control devices:	Driller or Operator (/ Date Date	
WEIT CAP	Operator I Date	
4		

1000

Principal	Driller	and	Rig	Operator	Required.	

Mailed and 05 First Rem FORWARD WHITE COPY TO WATER RESOURCES



 One Government Gulch - PO Box 929
 Kellogg ID 83837-0929
 (208) 784-1258
 Fax (208) 783-0891

 IDEO (Baise)
 Presingt Name Pairs
 Presingt Name Pairs

IDEQ (Boise)	Project Name: Boise
1410 N. Hilton	Work Order: W0G0719
Boise, 1D 83706	Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Sampled By	Date Received
SMWDISSI	W0G0719-01	Soil	20-Jul-10 09:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
SMBGSS1	W0G0719-02	Soil	20-Jul-10 10:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
SMDSS1	W0G0719-03	Soil	20-Jul-10 12:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
SMWD6SS1	W0G0719-04	Soit	20-Jul-10 13:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
GTADSS)	W0G0719-05	Soil	21-Jul-10 16:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
AMADISSI	W0G0719-06	Soil	22-Jul-10 08:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
UKADSS1	W0G0719-07	Soil	22-Jul-10 10:00	BS	27-Jul-2010
GMBGSS1	W0G0719-08	Soil	22-Jul-10 11:00	BS	27-Jul-2010

Solid samples are analyzed on an as-received, wet-weight basis, unless otherwise requested.

Sample preparation is defined by the client as per their Data Quality Objectives.

This report supercedes any previous reports for this Work Order. The complete report includes pages for each sample, a full QC report, and a notes section.

The results presented in this report relate only to the samples, and meet all requirements of the NELAC Standards unless otherwise noted.



Fax (208) 783-0891

Work Order: W0G0719 Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

Project Name: Boise

	ent Sample ID: SMV VL Sample ID: W0G			Sample Report Page 1 of 1					Sampled: 20-Jul-10.09:00 Received: 27-Jul-10 Sampled By: BS		
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Analyzed	Notes	
Metals (Total) by	EPA 6000/7000 Met	10ds						_			
EPA 6010B	Antimony	90,7	mg/kg	2.0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	112	mg∕kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Barium	623	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	4.58	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Chromium	17.2	mg/kg	0,60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Copper	121	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	lron	16200	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:13		
EPA 6010B	Lead	4850	ing/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Manganese	6320	mg/kg	0.40	0.06		W031189	DG	08/10/10 13:27		
EPA 6010B	Selenium	24,4	mg/kg	4.0	1.4		W031189	DG	08/30/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Silver	39.6	mg/kg	0.50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 6010B	Zinc	930	mg/kg	1,00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:14		
EPA 7471A	Mercury	2.13	mg/kg	0.330	0.095	10	W032137	JAA	08/05/10 15:19	D2	
Percent Solids				_	_						
Percent Solids	% Solids	96.6	26	0.1			W031188	DP	07/29/10 09:44		

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.





One Governmen	t Gulch - PO Box 929	Kellogg ID 83837-0929			(208) 78	4-1258		ł	² ax (208) 783-0891	
IDEQ (Boise	;)								Project Na	me: Boise
1410 N. Hilto	on							Work C	rder: W0G0719)
Boise, ID 83	706							Rept	orted: 10-Aug-1	0 15:52
	Client Sample ID:	SMBGSS1						Sa	mpled: 20-Jul-10	10:00
		W0G0719-02 (Soil)		Sa	mple Report	Page 1 of 1			erved: 27-Jul-10 ed By: <u>BS</u>	
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Analyzed	Notes
Metals (Tots	al) by EPA 6000/700	Ø Methods								
EPA 6010B	Antimony	< 2.0	mg/kg	2.0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:32	
EPA 6010B	Arsenie	18.0	mg/kg	2,5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:32	
EPA 6010B	Barlum	358	ភាg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	0.75	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	22.1	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 6010B	Capper	18.8	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 6010B	Iron	17700	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:30	
EPA 6010B	Lead	102	mg/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:32	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	913	mg/kg	0.40	0.06		W031189	DG	08/10/10 13:42	
EPA 6010B	Selenium	< 4.0	mg/kg	4.0	1.4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:32	
EPA 6010B	Silver	0.85	mg/kg	0.50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 6010B	Zine	191	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:31	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	0.063	mg∕kg	0.033	0.010		W032137	JAA	08/05/10 13:03	
Percent Soli	ds									

%

0.1

W031188

DP

07/29/10 09.44

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

94.7

John Ken

% Solids

Percent Solids



One Government C	iulch - PO Box 929	Kellogg ID 83837-0929			(208) 784	1-1258		1	Fax (208) 783-0891	
IDEQ (Boisc) 1410 N. Hilton Boisc, ID 8370	6							-	Project Na Drder: W0G0719 Drted: 10-Aug-10	,
	Client Sample ID: SN SVL Sample ID: W(_	Sa	mple Report	Page 1 of 1		Rec	ampled: 20-Jul-10 ceived: 27-Jul-10 ed By: BS	12:00
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analysi	Analyzed	Notes
 Metals (Total)	by EPA 6000/7000 Me	ethods								
EPA 6010B	Antimony	145	ing/kg	2.0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	201	mg/kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Barlum	2610	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	9.04	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031489	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	62.2	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Copper	217	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11,37	
EPA 6010B	lron	26600	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:36	
EPA 6010B	Lead	7570	mg/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	17300	ing/kg	4.00	0.65	10	W031189	DG	08/10/10 13:48	D2
EPA 6010B	Selenium	4.6	mg/kg	4.0	L.4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Silver	69.7	mg/kg	0.50	B.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	1550	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:37	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	6.28	mg/kg	0.330	0.095	10	W032137	JAA	08/05/10 15:24	D2
Percent Solids										
Percent Solids	% Solids	97.8	%	0.1			W031188	DP	07/29/10 09:44	

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

John Ken



One Government Guleh - PO Box 929 Kellogg ID 83837-0929

(208) 784-1258

Fax (208) 783-0891

1DEQ (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton Boise, 1D 83706 Project Name: Boise Work Order: W0G0719 Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

	SVL Sample ID: WOO	0719-04 (Soil)	_	Sample Report Page 1 of 1				Received: 27-Jul-10 Sampled By: BS		
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Analyzed	Note
Metals (Total) b	y EPA 6000/7000 Met	hods				_	_			
EPA 6010B	Antimony	56.1	mg/kg	2.0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	106	mg/kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Barium	543	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 60:0B	Cadmium	6.64	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	21.6	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W03:189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Copper	68.1	ng/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11.43	
EPA 6010B	Iron	16500	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:42	
EPA 6010B	Lead	1230	mg/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	2640	mg/kg	0.40	0.06		W031189	DG	08/10/10 13:53	
EPA 6010B	Selenium	23.4	mg/kg	4.0	1,4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Silver	2.46	mg/kg	0.50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	1140	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:43	
EPA 7471A	Мегсигу	0.210	mg/kg	0.033	0.010		W032137	JAA	08/05/10 13:09	
Percent Solids										
Percent Solids	% Solids	97.3	%	0.1			W031188	- DP	07/29/10 09:44	

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

John Kenn



One Government Gulch - PO Box 929	Kellogg ID 83837-0929	(208) 784-1258	Fax (208) 783-0891
IDEQ (Boise)	<u> </u>		Project Name: Boise
1410 N. Hilton			Work Order: W0G0719
Boise, ID 83706			Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

Client Sample ID: GTADSS1 SVL Sample ID: W0G0719-05 (Soil)				Sa	mple Report	Page 1 of 1	Sampled: 21-Jul-10 16:00 Received: 27-Jul-10 Sampled By: BS			
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Analyzed	Notes
Metals (Total) b	y EPA 6008/7000 Met	hods	_							_
EPA 6010B	Antimony	43.5	mg/kg	2,0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	237	mg/kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Barium	497	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	23.8	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Chromlum	12.4	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Copper	394	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Iron	47900	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:47	
EPA 6010B	Lead	14800	mg/kg	7.50	3.60	10	W031189	DG	08/10/10 14:00	D2
EPA 6010B	Manganese	10400	mg/kg	4,00	0.65	10	W031189	DG	08/10/10 13:59	D2
EPA 6010B	Selenium	13.6	mg/kg	4 0	1.4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 6010B	Silver	8.89	mg/kg	0.50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 [1:49	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	7300	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:49	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	0.593	mg/kg	0.033	0.010		W032137	JAA	08/05/10 13:10	
Percent Solids		· <u> </u>							<u> </u>	
Percent Solids	% Solids	95.3	%	0.1			W031188	DP	07/29/10 09:44	

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

John Ken



One Government Gulch - PO Box 929 Ko	Lellogg ID 83837-0929	(208) 784-1258	Fax (208) 783-0891
IDEQ (Boisc)			Project Name: Boise

IDEQ (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton Boise, ID 83706

Work Order: W0G0719 Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

Client Sample ID: AMAD1SS1 SVL Sample ID: W0G0719-06 (Soil)					mple Report	Page 1 of 1	Sampled: 22-Jul-10 08:00 Received: 27-Jul-10 Sampled By: BS			
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Analyzed	Notes
Metals (Total) by	y EPA 6000/7000 Met	hods								
EPA 6010B	Antimony	< 2.0	mg/kg	2.0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	346	mg/kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Barium	2220	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	ÐG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	6.86	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	18.7	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Copper	56.5	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Iron	143000	mg/kg	60.0	10.3	10	W031189	DG	08/10/10 14:04	D2
EPA 6010B	Lead	2590	mg/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	32600	mg/kg	4,00	0.65	10	W031189	DG	08/10/10 14:04	D2
EPA 6010B	Selenium	6.0	mg/kg	4.0	1.4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Silver	9.54	mg/kg	0,50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	2820	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 11:55	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	1.03	mg/kg	0.033	0.010		W032137	JAA	08/05/10 13:12	
Percent Solids	<u> </u>						_			
Percent Solids	% Solids	98.3	9, ₀	0.1			W031188	DP	07/29/10 09:44	

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

John Ken



One Government Gulch - PO Box 929 Kellogg ID 83837-0929 (208) 784-1258 IDEO (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton

Boise, ID 83706

Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52 Sampled: 22-Jul-10 10:00 Client Sample ID: UKADSS1 Received: 27-Jul-10 SVL Sample ID: W0G0719-07 (Soil) Sample Report Page 1 of 1 Sampled By: BS Method Analyte Result Units RL. MDL Dilution Batch Analyst Analyzed Notes Metals (Total) by EPA 6000/7000 Methods EPA 6010B 2.3 W031189 Antimony mg/kg 2.0 0.3 \mathbf{DG} 08/10/10 12:13 EPA 6010B 51.5 W031189 08/10/10 12:13 Arsenic mg/kg 2.5 0.5 DG EPA 6010B 842 0.20 0.02 W031189 08/10/10 12:12 Barium mg/kg DG EPA 6010B Cadmium 1.38 0.20 W031189 DG 08/10/10 12:12 mg/kg 0.03 12.9 EPA 6010B Chromium nıg/kg 0.60 0.07 W031189 DG 08/10/10 12.12 EPA 6010B Copper 108 mg/kg 1.00 0.21 W031189 DĢ 08/10/10 12:12 EPA 6010B Iron 19600 mg/kg 6.0 1.0 W031189 DG 08/10/10 12:11 08/10/10 12:12 EPA 6010B 848 0.75 W031189 Lead mg/kg 0.36 DG EPA 6010B 1970 0.06 W031189 DG 08/10/10 14:21 Manganese mg/kg 0.40 W031189 08/10/10 12:13 EPA 6010B Selenium 9.6 mg/kg 4.0 DG 1.4 EPA 6010B Silver 6.88 0,50 0.04 W031189 \mathbf{DG} 08/10/10 12:12 mg/kg FPA 6010B Zinc 2330 mg/kg 1.00 0.22 W031189 DG 08/10/10 12:12 EPA 7471A 3.50 mg/kg W032137 JAA Mereury 0.330 0.095 10 08/05/10 15:25 D2 **Percent Solids** Percent Solids 90.1 W031188 % Solids % 0.1 DP 07/29/10 09:44

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.

John Ken

John Kern Laboratory Director Fax (208) 783-0891

Work Order: W0G0719

Project Name: Boise



One Government Gulch - PO Box 929 Ke

Kellogg ID 83837-0929

(208) 784-1258

Fax (208) 783-0891

IDEQ (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton Boise, ID 83706 Project Name: Boise Work Order: W0G0719 Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

	Client Sample ID: GMBGSS1 SVL Sample ID: W0G0719-08 (Soil)			Sa	mple Report	Page 1 of 1	Sampled: 22-Jul-10 11:00 Received: 27-Jul-10 Sampled By: BS			
Method	Analyte	Result	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Baich	Analyst	Analyzed	Note
Metals (Total) b	y EPA 6000/7000 Me	hods					-			
EPA 6010B	Antimony	< 2.0	mg/kg	2,0	0.3		W031189	DG	08/10/10 (2:19	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	24.4	ing/kg	2.5	0.5		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Barium	67.6	mg/kg	0.20	0.02		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	0.70	mg/kg	0.20	0.03		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Chromlum	13.4	mg/kg	0.60	0.07		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Copper	17.2	mg/kg	1.00	0.21		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Iron	12100	mg/kg	6.0	1.0		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:17	
EPA 6010B	Lead	151	mg/kg	0.75	0.36		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	717	mg/kg	0.40	0.06		W031189	DG	08/10/10 14:26	
EPA 6010B	Selcnium	5.7	mg/kg	4.0	1.4		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Sülver	0.65	mg/kg	0.50	0.04		W031189	DG	08/10/10 12:19	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	165	mg/kg	1.00	0.22		W031189	DG	08/10/10 [2:19	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	0.075	mg/kg	0.033	0.010		W032137	JAĄ	08/05/10 13:20	
Percent Solids										
Percent Solids	% Solids	98.1	%	0.1			W031188	DP	07/29/10 09:44	

This data has been reviewed for accuracy and has been authorized for release by the Laboratory Director or designee.





One Government Guleh - PO Box 929

Kellogg 1D 83837-0929 IDEQ (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton Boise, 1D 83706

Method	Analyte	Units	Result	MDL	MRL	Bateh ID	Analyzed	N
Metals (Total)	by EPA 6000/7000 M	ethods						_
EPA 6010B	Antimony	mg/kg	<2.0	0.3	2.0	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	mg/kg	<2.5	D.5	2.5	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Barium	mg/kg	<0.20	0.02	0,20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.20	0.03	0.20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	mg/kg	<0.60	0.07	0.60	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Copper	mg/kg	<1.00	0.21	1.00	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Iron	mg/kg	<6.0	1.0	6.0	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Lead	mg/kg	<0.75	0.36	0.75	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	mg/kg	<0.40	0.06	0.40	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Selenium	mg/kg	<4.0	1.4	4.0	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Silver	mg/kg	<0.50	0.04	0.50	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	mg/kg	<1.00	0.22	1.00	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	mg/kg	<0.033	0.010	0,033	W032137	05-Aug-10	

(208) 784-1258

Quality Cont	rol - LABORATORY	CONTROL SAN	IPLE Data						_
Method	Analyte	Units	LCS Result	LCS True	% Rec	Acceptance Limits	Batch ID	Analyzed	Notes
Metals (Total)	by EPA 6000/7000 M	lethods							
EPA 6010B	Antimony	mg/kg	85.3	100	85.3	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	mg/kg	84.0	100	84.0	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Barium	mg/kg	94.0	100	94.0	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Cadmiuu	mg/kg	87.0	100	87.0	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	mg/kg	104	100	104	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Copper	mg/kg	98.5	100	98.5	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Iren	mg/kg	947	1000	94.7	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Lead	mg/kg	93.1	100	93.1	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	mg/kg	102	100	102	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
El'A 6010B	Selenium	mg/kg	81.4	100	81.4	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Silver	mg/kg	4.50	5.00	90,0	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Zine	mg/kg	89.9	100	89.9	80 - 120	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	mg/kg	0.885	0.833	106	80 - 120	W032137	05-Aug-10	

Quality Cont	rol - MATRIX SPIKI	E Data								
Method	Analyte	Units	Spike Result	Sample Result (R)	Spike Level (S)	% Rcc.	Acceptance Limits	Batch ID	Analyzed	Notes
/ Ietals (Total)	by EPA 6000/7000 M	lethods					_			
EPA 6010B	Antimony	mg/kg	134	90.7	100	43.8	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M2
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	nıg/kg	207	112	100	95.3	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Barium	mg/kg	649	623	100	R > 4S	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M3
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	mg/kg	82.1	4.58	100	77.5	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	mg/kg	120	17.2	100	103	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
PA 6010B	Copper	mg/kg	215	121	100	93.7	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	lron	ing/kg	17600	16200	1000	R > 4S	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M3
EPA 6010B	1 cad	mg/kg	4050	4850	100	R > 4S	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M3
EPA 6010B	Manganese	mg/kg	4870	6320	100	R > 4S	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M3
EPA 6010B	Selenium	nig/kg	114	24.4	100	89.8	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Silver	mg/kg	38.5	39.6	5.00	R > 48	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M2
PA 6010B	Zine	mg/kg	922	930	100	$R \ge 4S$	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	М3
EPA 7471A	Mercury	ing/kg	2.73	2.13	0.167	R > 4S	75 - 125	W032137	05-Aug-10	D2,M

SVL holds the following certifications: AZ:0538, CA:2080, CO:ID00019, FL(NELAC):E87993, ID:ID00019 & ID00965 (Microbiology), NV:ID000192007A, WA:1268. WY:ID00019

Fax (208) 783-0891

Project Name: Boise Work Order: W0G0719 Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52



One Government Gulch - PO Box 929	Kellogg ID 83837-0929

DEQ (Boise) 1410 N. Hilton

Boise, ID 83706

Quality Control - MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE Data Snike MSD Snike

Method	Analyie	Units	MSD Result	Spike Result	Spike Level	RPD	RPD Limit	Batch ID	Analyzed	Noles
Metals (Total) by EPA 6000/7000 l	Methods								
EPA 6010B	Antimony	mg/kg	147	134	100	9.2	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Arsenic	mg/kg	213	207	100	2.8	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Barium	mg/kg	692	649	100	6.4	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Cadmium	nıg/kg	82.0	82.1	100	0.1	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Chromium	mg/kg	119	120	100	1.2	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Copper	mg/kg	220	215	100	2.4	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	lron	mg/kg	18400	17600	1000	4.6	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Lead	mg/kg	4500	4050	100	10.5	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Manganese	mg/kg	5770	4870	100	17.0	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Selenium	mg/kg	112	114	100	2.3	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Silver	mg/kg	39.8	38.5	5.00	3.4	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 6010B	Zinc	mg/kg	965	922	100	4.6	20	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 7471A	Mercury	mg/kg	2.28	2.73	0.167	17.9	20	W032137	05-Aug-10	D2,M3

(208) 784-1258

Quality Cont	rol - POST DIGEST	ION SPIKE Dat	a — —							
Method	Analyte	Units	Spike Result	Sample Result (R)	Spike Level (S)	% Rec.	Acceptance Limits	Batch ID	Analyzed	Notes
	by EPA 6000/7000 N	fethods								
EPA 6010B	Antimony	mg/kg	177	90.7	100	86.5	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	
EPA 60108	Silver	mg/kg	42.7	39.6	5.00	62.8	75 - 125	W031189	10-Aug-10	M2

Notes and Definitions

D2	Sample required dilution due to high concentration of target analyte.
MI	Matrix spike recovery was high, but the LCS recovery was acceptable.
M2	Matrix spike recovery was low, but the LCS recovery was acceptable.
M3	The spike recovery value is unusable since the analyte concentration in the sample is disproportionate to spike level. The LCS was acceptable.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample (Blank Spike)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
UDL	A result is less than the detection limit

R > 4S% recovery not applicable, sample concentration more than four times greater than spike level

- <RL A result is less than the reporting limit
- MRL Method Reporting Limit
- Method Detection Limit MDL
- N/A Not Applicable

Fax (208) 783-0891

Project Name: Boisc Work Order: W0G0719

Reported: 10-Aug-10 15:52

